

CHANCELLOR'S ADVISORY COUNCIL SUMMARY JANUARY 20, 2006

Present

Adrian Diaz/ASFC, Jane Enright, Dave Garrido, Scott Heffner (for Hansen), Lydia Hearn, Glen Ho/DASB (for Callahan), Martha Kanter, Brock Kreiss, Duane Kubo, Dan Mitchell, Brian Murphy, Willie Pritchard, John Schulze (for Brandy), Shelley Schreiber, Paul Starer, Bret Watson

Others

Bob Barr, Jon O'Bergh

I. CHECK-IN / BURNING ISSUES

Kanter reviewed information from Joint Venture's "State of the Valley" Index 2006 report. Diaz asked if the analysis of plus/minus grading was available, but Kanter responded that additional time was needed by Institutional Research and the Board presentation was postponed to March 13. She offered to give an update at the next meeting.

II. DRAFT SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The summary of the December 9, 2005 meeting was approved by consensus.

III. REPORTS FROM SUBCOMMITTEES

Budget Committee — Kanter distributed information regarding the governor's proposed budget in Mike Brandy's absence. Despite the good news about equalization funding for community colleges and no change in student fees, Kanter cautioned that the budget still must be approved by the legislature. There was a discussion of the proposed \$50 million for career technical education and the uncertainty how the state chancellor's office intends to distribute those funds. Schreiber reported that the Budget Committee was beginning to look at details of the 2006-07 budget and tentative figures based on the governor's proposed budget.

Legislative Committee — Kanter reported that the Legislative Committee was reviewing the Board's 2006 Legislative Goals. The draft goals will be presented to the Council on February 10 and submitted to the Board on February 21. She noted that the District was co-sponsoring a breakfast for legislators in Sacramento on January 30 with other local districts. She also noted that state chancellor Drummond was planning a meeting with local chancellors and presidents to be held at Foothill-De Anza in March.

ETAC — Pritchard reported that ETAC had not yet met, but members were discussing with their constituency groups the proposed Service Level Agreements, which are documents that specify the services that ETS can and cannot provide, as well as the service responsibilities that ETS shares with the users.

IV. BOND PLANNING

Kanter reviewed the results from the voter poll conducted back in Fall 2005, highlighting the excellent rating that Foothill-De Anza received from voters. She distributed an analysis prepared by Mike Brandy of the possible bond with a summary of potential projects, noting that more details are available from Business Services or the Chancellor's Office, and asked each member to share the information with their constituencies. She emphasized that Brandy, herself and the college presidents are willing to attend meetings to provide information and answer questions.

Schulze distributed a draft list of projects that included projects related to the technology master plan, large capital projects, maintenance and renovation projects, and other projects such as property acquisition. He reviewed the proposed large capital projects: North Slope Science Building and parking structure at Foothill; Mediated Learning Center and parking structure at De Anza; and District offices/data center. Starer asked about planning for concomitant staffing needs. Schulze and Kanter explained that the colleges have done program planning and described how the District would be able to capture additional growth funding as enrollment increases that could be a source of revenue for staffing needs. State funding for increased building square footage would also provide funding for positions related to building maintenance. Starer urged more communication with Foothill faculty about details of the proposed projects, reporting that there had not been sufficient consultation with faculty when some Measure E projects were scaled back or eliminated. Kanter encouraged members to examine the detailed lists if they want to see what specific items are included.

V. COMMUNITY COLLEGE INITIATIVE

Kanter distributed information from Californians for Community Colleges regarding the initiative. Hearn reported that faculty and staff met off-campus during non-work hours to discuss the campaign.

VI. ENROLLMENT UPDATE

Barr presented an update on enrollment, reporting that De Anza WSCH was up 4% and Foothill WSCH was down a fraction of a percent. He noted that Foothill's year-long P.E. classes were not yet counted, so he expected that number to increase. Murphy described how De Anza is addressing how to better reach out to both traditional and new communities of students.

VII. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES: STUDENT RIGHTS/RESPONSIBILITIES, CONDUCT, DISCIPLINE, GRIEVANCES

Kanter reviewed Administrative Procedures 5500, 5510, 5520 and 5530, noting that these revised procedures will be going into effect and will be communicated to students in the customary ways. Heffner expressed concern about how faculty rights will be protected during the appeal process. Enright agreed to work with the Faculty Association to determine the appropriate way to address that concern.

VIII. BOARD POLICIES AND ITEMS MATRIX

Kanter reviewed the list of pending items for the Council’s information, highlighting the many items that have already been completed.

IX. WRAP UP

Kanter pointed out the Calendar of Pending Items. Starer said he would like to see a discussion of long-term enrollment planning and the role that the Council could play.

CALENDAR OF PENDING ITEMS

Update on Plus/Minus Grading.....	February 10, 2006
Draft Board Legislative Goals for 2006.....	February 10, 2006
Results of the Implementation of Plus/Minus Grading.....	February 10, 2006
Bond Planning.....	Winter 2006
Technology Strategic Plan.....	Winter 2006
Conflict Management Action Plan (Enright).....	Winter 2006
Respect in the Workplace.....	2005-06
Board Policy 5550, Time, Place & Manner	Winter 2006
Board Policy 2610, Communication Between Staff and Board	Winter 2006
District Educational Master Plan, Critical Success Factors	Winter 2006
Board Policy 4190, Academic Freedom.....	Spring 2006
Review of Non-Smoking Policy and Implementation	Spring 2006
Public Domain Survey Results	Spring 2006
Long-Term Enrollment Planning and Role of CAC	TBA