

**CHANCELLOR'S ADVISORY COUNCIL
SUMMARY
APRIL 11, 2008**

Present

Wayne Chenoweth, Leo Contreras, Dolores Davison, Andy Dunn, Stephanie Franco, Al Guevara, Rich Hansen, Barbara Illowsky, Rob Johnstone, Martha Kanter, Amir Mesguich/ASF, Judy Miner, Dorene Novotny, Olivia Patlan, Chien Shih (for Sherman), John Swensson (for Murphy), Martin Varela

Others

Carolyn Holcroft-Burns, Jon O'Bergh

I. CHECK-IN / BURNING ISSUES

Kanter thanked the SEIU, CSEA and FA negotiating teams for their hard work over the past many months.

II. SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The summary of the March 13, 2008 meeting was approved.

III. REPORTS FROM SUBCOMMITTEES

Budget Committee. There was no news to report.

Legislative Committee. Individuals who attended the Legislators Lunch with Assembly members Ruskin, Beall, Lieber and Senator Simitian offered comments about the meeting. Kanter reported that the Legislative Committee is working on the upcoming Capitol Days sponsored by CCLC (attached are the Talking Points prepared by CCLC for the first Capitol Day in March). Anyone wishing to attend should contact Jon O'Bergh.

ETAC. There was no news to report since the meeting had been postponed.

HRAC. There was no news to report.

IV. ENROLLMENT UPDATE

Miner reported that that Foothill spring enrollment was estimated at 3% over spring 2007, exceeding the target. Swensson reported that outreach efforts appear to have been successful at De Anza, especially in terms of Hispanic students, and enrollment is expected to be up around 6% once Job Corps figures are accounted for. Kanter noted that the state is expected to reduce growth funding and the District will likely be reimbursed for only 1% growth.

V. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES 9110.1 – STUDENT TRUSTEE SELECTION PROCEDURES

Kanter reviewed the proposed changes recommended by the student trustees and distributed their memo which outlined the rationale for the changes. Mesguich reported that the ASFC Campus Council did not support all of the changes because of a concern that a recall would be too difficult. Instead, he recommended reducing the threshold to 20% of students who voted in the last student trustee election, adding an extra week to the timeline in order to adequately advertise for at-large student representatives on the recall committee, and retaining the original composition of the recall committee.

Hansen said he was not convinced that accountability to the student government officers was a detrimental feature of the existing administrative procedures, and in fact, he suggested revising the policy to enhance student trustee accountability. Franco wondered whether it would be difficult to find at-large students for the recall committee since so many students know nothing about student government. Davison echoed this comment, adding that a 20% threshold does not mitigate against the ease of a recall by disgruntled student government leaders since student government is comprised of a large number of students that could easily achieve that threshold. Kanter asked the Foothill College Roundtable and De Anza College Council and other relevant constituent groups to review the student trustee policy the administrative procedures, and the students role in governance policy, and bring forth recommendations to the Chancellor's Advisory Council. It was agreed that a longer, more thoughtful discussion of the issue is needed, so the existing administrative procedures will remain in effect for this year's student trustee selection process.

VI. BOARD POLICIES AND ITEMS

Kanter reviewed the list of policies and administrative procedures under discussion.

VII. GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR LAND/SITE ACQUISITION

Dunn reviewed the draft principles and summarized background regarding the Middlefield campus at the Cubberly site. He explained that the current arrangement of a month-to-month lease and the poor condition of the facilities create an untenable situation, so the District is considering alternative sites. One possible long-term solution is the NASA Research Park (NRP) Initiative, but if that materializes, it may take many years before it is fully developed, so a short- to mid-term solution is needed now. Kanter added that courses at the NRP would not be restricted to science, engineering and math. Miner discussed how Foothill will carefully consider which programs could be transplanted to a new site without compromising enrollment and which should remain at the current Cubberly site. Davison noted that faculty may be more willing to teach at a more modern site such as the NRP than they have been under the poor, aging facilities at the Cubberly site.

Hansen expressed interest in seeing an analysis of establishing a third campus, which receives better funding than mega-colleges under the state's new funding model. Dunn said that funding for an educational center may be more advantageous than for a third campus since a center can be operated in a streamlined manner. Guevara spoke about expanding distance education as a way to reduce the need for facilities. Kanter asked the Council members to consider what are the right kinds of questions to be asking, as those questions should drive the educational master

planning in which the District will need to engage. She noted that facilities plans must stem from revisions to the Educational Master Plan.

CALENDAR OF PENDING ITEMS 2007-08

Board Policy 9110.1 (Student Trustees) and AP 9110.1	Spring/Summer 2008
Board Policy 3214 and AP 3214 – Sustainable Practices.....	Spring 2008
Board Policy 3220 – Energy Conservation.....	Spring 2008
Principles and Strategies for Budget Augmentation/Recovery.....	Spring 2008
District Educational Master Plan, Critical Success Factors.....	Spring 2008
Board Policy 2610, Communication Between Staff and Board	Spring 2008
Board Policy 4190, Academic Freedom.....	Spring 2008
CalPASS Update (Kanter)	Spring 2008
Statewide Basic Skills Initiative Update.....	Spring 2008
Board Policy 3220 – Energy Conservation (Allen).....	Spring 2008
Email Usage and Online Grade Submissions (Novotny/Sherman).....	Spring 2008
Board Policy 4185 (revision) – Publication of Instructional Materials.....	Spring 2008
Board Policy 5001 (new) – Enrollment Priorities.....	TBA
Board Policy 5020 (revision) – Instructional and Other Material and Use Fees	TBA
Board Policy 5562 (new) – Associated Student Elections	TBA
Board Policy 5600 (new) – Student Equity	TBA
Board Policy 6000 (new) – General Education	TBA
Board Policy 6121 (new) – Course Repetition	TBA
Planning for Smart Enrollment Growth – temporary title (Starer)	TBA
Security Policy (Sherman).....	TBA
Measure C	TBA
Closeout of Measure E	TBA
Staffing Study.....	TBA
Non-Resident Tuition	January 2009 (annual)